UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,050	06/20/2003	Anthony M. Olson	P1946US00	8455
24333 GATEWAY, IN	7590 09/16/200 NC .		EXAMINER	
ATTN: Patent A	Attorney	JONES, HEATHER RAE		
MAIL DROP Y		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
N. SIOUX CIT	Y, SD 57049	2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/601,0	0/601,050 OLSON, ANTHONY M.		NY M.	
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		HEATHE	R R. JONES	2621		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE NEW PRIOD FOR T	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION VENT, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file. This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b) ☐ This action is for allowance excep	t for formal matters, p		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri on Papers The specification is objected to by the	are withdrawn from concinent concine	requirement.			
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/601,050 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed May 15, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. fails to disclose "VSM logic configured to track one or more logical addresses of the second memory on the network". The Examiner respectively disagrees. Boyle discloses a PVR that comprises a storage subsystem that incorporates one or more magnetic disks (col. 6, lines 50-53; col. 10, lines 35-37), wherein a virtual storage management logic is used to maintain frame indexes that store the logical addresses corresponding to the physical location of the digital data corresponding to that particular frame as stored on the storage subsystem in order to easily find the frames that correspond to a certain program which allows for an easier way for the system to also fast-forward and rewind the program (col. 10, lines 35-37). However, Boyle does not explicitly state that one portion of a program is stored on one memory and another portion on another memory, but that the program is stored somewhere in the subsystem, which can include more than one magnetic disk, so it would be possible for a program to be split up amongst the magnetic disks. Furthermore, since Boyle discloses tracking logical addresses of a storage subsystem that comprises more than one magnetic disk then Boyle meets the claimed limitation of a "VSM logic configured to track one or more logical addresses of the second memory on the

Application/Control Number: 10/601,050

Art Unit: 2621

network" since that that second memory is part of the storage subsystem.

Therefore, Boyle meets the claimed limitation and the rejection is maintained.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Browne et al. (WO 92/22983) in view of Boyle (U.S. Patent 6,453,115) in view of Utsunomiya et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0066113).

Regarding claim 1, Browne et al. discloses a system useful for storing a television program P, comprising: a PVR (100) having a first memory (104), a network interface device (105a), and logic configured to copy the television program P into memory (the controller (105) copies the television program P into memory); and a second memory (104b) in communication with the PVR (100) via the network interface device (105a) (Fig. 1; page 10, line 32 – page 11, line 11). Furthermore, Browne et al. discloses that the system keeps track of the total amount of "on-line" storage capacity (page 11, lines 3-11). However, Browne et al. fails to disclose virtual storage management (VSM) logic configured to track the location of the second memory on the network, and to store a portion of the program P in the second memory; wherein the VSM logic is configured to track

one or more logical addresses of the second memory on the network for storing a plurality of portions of the program P including the said portion.

Referring to the Boyle reference, Boyle discloses a system useful for storing a television program P, comprising: a PVR having a first and second memory (col. 6, lines 50-53; col. 10, lines 35-37 – the storage subsystem comprises a hard drive incorporating one or more magnetic disks); virtual storage management (VSM) logic configured to track the location of the second memory on the network, wherein the VSM logic is configured to track one or more logical addresses of the second memory for storing a plurality of portions of the program P (col. 6, lines 38-58; col. 10, lines 31-42; col. 13, lines 50-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied the technique of using VSM logic as disclosed by Boyle with the logic that keeps track of the "on-line" storage capacity disclosed by Browne et al. in order to allow the system to know not only know the amount of available "on-line" storage capacity, but to also keep track of where portions of programs are recorded in order to allow the system to more efficiently implement trick play modes. However, Browne et al. in view of Boyle fail to disclose storing a portion of the program P in the second memory.

Referring to the Utsunomiya et al. reference, Utsunomiya et al. discloses a recording system useful for storing a television program P, comprising: a first memory (3), a network interface drive, and logic configured to copy the television program P into memory (control unit 10 copies the television program P into

Application/Control Number: 10/601,050

Art Unit: 2621

memory); a second memory (4) in communication with the recording system via the network interface device; and a virtual storage management (VSM) logic configured to track the location of the second memory (4) on the network, and to store a portion of the program P in the second memory (4) (Figs. 1 and 11; paragraphs [0083] – [0085]).

Page 5

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of recording a portion of a program onto a different memory when the first memory is full and to use the virtual storage management logic to track all the portions of the program as disclosed by Utsunomiya et al. with the PVR as described by Browne et al. in view of Boyle in order to allow the PVR to use the memories to their fullest capabilities as well as to efficiently playback recordings when a portion of a program is recorded in the first memory and another portion of the program is recorded in the second memory.

Regarding claim **2**, Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the VSM logic is configured to track the total amount of memory storage on the network that is available for storing at least a portion of a program (Browne et al: Fig. 3 – auto recording storage allocation (305); page 20, line 38 – page 21, line 3; Utsunomiya et al.: paragraphs [0044] and [0047]).

Regarding claim 3, Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1

including that the VSM logic is configured to track the memory locations of a plurality of portions P(i) of the program P (Boyle: col. 6, lines 38-58; col. 10, lines 31-42; col. 13, lines 50-58; Utsunomiya et al.: Fig. 11; paragraphs [0083]– [0085]).

Regarding claim **4**, Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the VSM logic is configured to perform at least one of: (a) track which memory devices on the network are currently active in recording or playback; (b) track the memory locations of previously stored programs; (d) inform the user when a memory device holding at least a part of a program is off-line; (e) request the user to bring on-line a memory device that is off-line; (f) inform a user before the total available on-line memory runs out; (g) allow the user to set a memory lower limit for the VSM logic to inform the user prior to running out of memory; and (h) after informing the user of the memory lower limit condition, further provide the user the option to erase previously stored programs in real time (Boyle: col. 6, lines 38-58; col. 10, lines 31-42; Utsunomiya et al.: Fig. 11 – tracks the memory locations of previously stored programs).

Regarding claim **5**, Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as further comprising an archival memory device in communication with the PVR; and archival storage management (ASM) logic configured to store the program P on the archival memory device (Browne et al.: page 10, line 32 – page

11, line 11 – the optional storage section may include removable media for long term storage; Utsunomiya et al.: Figs. 1 and 4; paragraphs [0043] and [0083]-[0085]).

Regarding claim **6**, Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 1 and 5 including that the archival memory device comprises a DVD-R device (Browne et al.: page 10, line 32 – page 11, line 11 – the optional storage section may include removable media for long term storage; Utsunomiya et al.: Figs. 1 and 4; paragraph [0043] – the disk (18) can be optical disk).

Regarding claim **7**, Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the first memory and the second memory each comprises a hard disk drive (Browne et al: page 10, line 32 – page 11, line 11; Utsunomiya et al.: paragraph [0043] – the disk (18) can be a hard disk).

Regarding claims **8-14**, grounds for rejecting claims 1-7 and 20 apply for claims 8-14 in their entirety.

Regarding claims **15-18**, these are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1, 2, 5, and 20. Therefore, claims 15-18 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1, 2, 5, and 20.

Regarding claim **19**, Browne et al. discloses a method of playing back a program using a PVR, each memory device (104 and 104b) in communication with the PVR, at least one of the memory devices (104b) in communication with

the PVR via a network (105a), the method comprising: playing back a program through at least the PVR (playing back a program that is stored in the first memory device (104)); and playing back a program through the network (105a) and through the PVR (playing back a program stored in the second memory (104b)) (Fig. 1; page 10, line 32 – page 11, line 11). However, Browne et al. fails to disclose playing back a program P, wherein the program is stored in at least two portions, each portion is stored on a separate memory device and using VSM logic of the PVR to track locations of each of the portions stored on the separate memory devices, the locations including one or more logical addresses on each of the separate memory devices.

Referring to the Boyle reference, Boyle discloses a system useful for storing a television program P, comprising: a PVR having a first and second memory (col. 6, lines 50-53; col. 10, lines 35-37 – the storage subsystem comprises a hard drive incorporating one or more magnetic disks); virtual storage management (VSM) logic configured to track the location of the second memory on the network, wherein the VSM logic is configured to track one or more logical addresses of the second memory for storing a plurality of portions of the program P (col. 6, lines 38-58; col. 10, lines 31-42; col. 13, lines 50-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied the technique of using VSM logic as disclosed by Boyle with the logic that keeps track of the "on-line" storage capacity disclosed by Browne et al. in order to allow the system to know not only

Application/Control Number: 10/601,050

Art Unit: 2621

know the amount of available "on-line" storage capacity, but to also keep track of where portions of programs are recorded in order to allow the system to more efficiently implement trick play modes. However, Browne et al. in view of Boyle fail to disclose storing a portion of the program P in the second memory.

Page 9

Referring to the Utsunomiya et al. reference, Utsunomiya et al. discloses a method of playing back a program P, the program stored in at least two portions, each portion stored on a separate memory device, the memory comprising: playing back a first portion; and playing back a second portion through the network (Figs. 1, 11, and 12; paragraphs [0083] – [0085]). Furthermore, Utsunomiya et al. discloses using VSM logic of the PVR to track locations of each of the portions stored on the separate memory devices (Figs. 1 and 11; paragraphs [0083] – [0086] and [0098]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of recording a portion of a program onto a different memory when the first memory is full and to use the virtual storage management logic to track all the portions of the program as disclosed by Utsunomiya et al. with the PVR as described by Browne et al. in view of Boyle in order to allow the PVR to use the memories to their fullest capabilities as well as to efficiently playback recordings when a portion of a program is recorded in the first memory and another portion of the program is recorded in the second memory.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,050 Page 10

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim **20**, Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the VSM logic is configured as part of the PVR (Boyle: Fig. 1; col. 6, lines 38-58; Utsunomiya et al.: paragraphs [0086] and [0098]).

4. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. as applied to claims 1, 8, and 15 above, and further in view of Perinpanathan (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0083145).

Regarding claim **21**, Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1, but fails to disclose the system further comprising: wherein, upon detecting the second memory is off-line, the VSM logic is configured to provide an instruction to bring the second memory back on-line.

Referring to the Perinpanathan reference, Perinpanathan discloses a system further comprising: wherein, upon detecting the second memory is off-line, the VSM logic is configured to provide an instruction to bring the second memory back on-line (paragraph [0007] – the device may go back on-line as a result of a user's selection or instruction).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided instructions for bringing a device back on-line as disclosed by Perinpanathan with the system disclosed by

Browne et al. in view of Boyle in view of Utsunomiya et al. in order for the data stored on that device to be available to the user.

Regarding claim **22**, grounds for rejecting claim 21 applies for claim 22 in its entirety.

Regarding claims **23** and **24**, these are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 21 and 22. Therefore, claims 23 and 24 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 21 and 22.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HEATHER R. JONES whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/601,050 Page 12

Art Unit: 2621

(571)272-7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John W. Miller/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623

Heather R Jones Examiner Art Unit 2621

HRJ September 2, 2008